UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,686	02/22/2005	Stefan Kirchhoff	DN 02 - 011	9517
Michael J Herm	7590 09/22/200 nan	9	EXAM	INER
Minerals Technologies Inc			BROWN II, DAVID N	
One Highland A Bethlehem, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/525,686	KIRCHHOFF ET	AL.			
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID N. BROWN II	1791				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>DAVID N. BROWN II</u> .	(3) <u>Derrick Jessen</u> .					
(2) <u>Joseph S. DelSole</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 September 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 4,107,244 (Ochiai)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant compaired the combined areas described in claim 1 with the combining of areas in Ochiai (column 4 lines 24-28, 34, 40-46 and column 5 lines 18-26) The differences between the features mentioned by applicant and those of the prior art were not present in the claim.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			

/DAVID N. BROWN II/

/Joseph S. Del Sole/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791